Determination of Discovery Dispute. (ECF No. 33.) Defendant seeks to modify the Scheduling Order (1) to extend the non-expert discovery deadline to permit it to conduct an additional Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) deposition of Plaintiff, and (2) to

extend the motion cut-off date to permit it to bring any motion, if necessary, resulting from

the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition. (*Id.* at 2.)

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Having reviewed the Joint Motion, the Court hereby **DENIES** Defendant's motion for the reasons argued by Plaintiff. Defendant has failed to convince the Court that good cause exists to modify the Scheduling Order or to allow Defendant to conduct a second

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	<u>۔</u> ء
2	1
ン つ	<del>-</del>
_	<i>5</i> 6
_	7
2	ر م

28

Rule 30(b)(6) deposition. Further, the Court finds Plaintiff's offer to stipulate to the authenticity of the records and to provide a declaration to be sufficient.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 29, 2018

ROBERT N. BLOCK

RA I B

United States Magistrate Judge